O‘ZBEKISTON RESPUBLIKASI

OLIY TA’LIM, FAN VA INNOVATSIYALAR VAZIRLIGI

FARG‘ONA DAVLAT UNIVERSITETI

FarDU. ILMIY XABARLAR-

1995-yildan nashr etiladi
Yilda 6 marta chiqadi

1-2025

IJTIMOIY FANLAR

НАУЧНЫЙ ВЕСТНИК. ФерГУ

Издаётся с 1995 года
Выходит 6 раз в год

MUNDARIJA

FALSAFA

SIYOSAT

FarDU. Ilmiy xabarlar – Scientific journal of the Fergana State University

Volume 31 Issue 1, 2025-yil DOI: 10.56292/SJFSU/vol31_iss1/a49

UO‘K: 316.77:004.9

MODELS OF SOCIAL PROCESSES: A PHILOSOPHICAL PERSPECTIVE ON THE INTERACTION BETWEEN HUMANS AND SOCIETY

МОДЕЛИ СОЦИАЛЬНЫХ ПРОЦЕССОВ: ФИЛОСОФСКИЙ ВЗГЛЯД НА ВЗАИМОДЕЙСТВИЕ ЧЕЛОВЕКА И ОБЩЕСТВА

IJTIMOIY JARAYONLAR MODELLARI: INSON VA JAMIYAT O‘ZARO TA’SIRIGA FALSAFIY NAZAR

Abdusattarova Sitora Fakhriddin

Tashkent State University of Law, doctor of philosophy (PhD), associate professor

National University of Uzbekistan, doctoral student (DSc)

Annotatsiya

Maqola zamonaviy ijtimoiy jarayonlar kontekstida inson, jamiyat va ijtimoiy modellar o‘rtasidagi o‘zaro bog‘liqlikni har tomonlama tahlil qilishga bag‘ishlangan. Unda ijtimoiy hodisalarni modellashtirish bilan bog‘liq falsafiy va huquqiy jihatlar hamda uning ijtimoiy tuzilmalar va dinamikani chuqur anglashdagi ahamiyati tadqiq etilgan. Modellashtirishda qo‘llaniladigan asosiy nazariy yondashuvlar, ularning jamiyatdagi o‘zaro ta’sirlarni o‘rganishga ta’siri, shuningdek, ushbu modellarning ijtimoiy o‘zgarishlarni bashorat qilish uchun amaliy qo‘llanilishi ko‘rib chiqilgan. Modellashtirishning reallik va abstraksiya tushunchalari kabi falsafiy asoslariga, shuningdek, murakkab ijtimoiy jarayonlarni tahlil qilish va talqin qilish uchun asos bo‘lib xizmat qiluvchi metamodellarga alohida e’tibor qaratilgan. Shuningdek, modellashtirishning huquqiy jihatlari, shu jumladan ushbu jarayonlarni huquqiy tartibga solish va ularning fuqarolik jamiyatini rivojlantirishdagi ahamiyati ko‘rib chiqilgan.Ijtimoiy jarayonlarni modellashtirish ijtimoiy voqelikni bilishning eng muhim vositasi hisoblanib, u nafaqat mavjud tendensiyalarni tushunish, balki mumkin bo‘lgan o‘zgarishlarni bashorat qilish imkonini beradi, bu esa globallashuv va ijtimoiy o‘zaro ta’sirlarning dinamik rivojlanishi sharoitida dolzarb hisoblanadi.

Аннотация

Статья посвящена всестороннему анализу взаимосвязи между человеком, обществом и социальными моделями в контексте современных социальных процессов. В ней исследуются философские и правовые аспекты, связанные с моделированием социальных явлений, а также его значение для глубокого понимания социальных структур и динамики. Рассматриваются основные теоретические подходы, применяемые в моделировании, их влияние на изучение взаимодействий в обществе, а также практическое применение данных моделей для прогнозирования социальных изменений. Особое внимание уделяется философским основаниям моделирования, таким как концепции реальности и абстракции, а также метамоделям, которые служат основой для анализа и интерпретации сложных социальных процессов. В статье также рассматриваются правовые аспекты моделирования, включая правовую регуляцию данных процессов и их значение для развития гражданского общества. Моделирование социальных процессов рассматривается как важнейший инструмент для познания социальной реальности, позволяющий не только понять текущие тенденции, но и предсказать возможные изменения, что актуально в условиях глобализации и динамичного развития социальных взаимодействий.

Abstract

The article is dedicated to a comprehensive analysis of the relationship between humans, society, and social models in the context of contemporary social processes. It examines the philosophical and legal aspects related to the modeling of social phenomena, as well as its significance for a deeper understanding of social structures and dynamics. The article discusses the main theoretical approaches used in modeling, their impact on the study of societal interactions, and the practical application of these models for forecasting social changes. Particular attention is given to the philosophical foundations of modeling, such as concepts of reality and abstraction, as well as meta-models that serve as a basis for analyzing and interpreting complex social processes. The article also addresses the legal aspects of modeling, including the regulation of these processes and their significance for the development of civil society. Modeling social processes is seen as an essential tool for understanding social reality, enabling not only the comprehension of current trends but also the prediction of potential changes, which is especially relevant in the context of globalization and the dynamic development of social interactions.

Kalit so‘zlar: *modellashtirish, raqamlashtirish, etika, huquq, sun’iy intellekt, reallik.*

Ключевые слова: *моделирование, цифровизация, этика, право, искусственный интеллект, реальность.*

Key words: *modeling, digitalization, ethics, law, artificial intelligence, reality*.

INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary world, the modeling of social processes has become a crucial tool for understanding and managing the complexities of society. As societies evolve and face rapid changes in their economic, political, and cultural landscapes, the need for sophisticated models to predict, analyze, and influence these changes has grown significantly. This development is particularly notable in the context of digitalization, where advancements in computing power, big data analytics, and artificial intelligence (AI) have created new opportunities and challenges for social scientists and policymakers alike. Social models, whether in the fields of economics, politics, or sociology, provide a framework to simulate the dynamics of human behavior and social interaction, facilitating both strategic decision-making and long-term forecasting.

However, the widespread use of models also raises significant concerns. Despite their predictive power, models often simplify reality, stripping away complex social variables and reducing multifaceted human behaviors to numerical data. This reductionist approach, while practical, may not fully capture the richness of social processes. As a result, the accuracy and validity of these models are constantly questioned. Furthermore, the increasing reliance on algorithms and AI in decision-making processes brings to the forefront ethical and legal issues regarding their use, particularly in sensitive areas like healthcare, law enforcement, and social welfare.

The primary aim of this article is to explore the role of modeling in understanding social processes, considering both its strengths and its limitations. This study investigates the intersection of philosophy, sociology, and law in the context of social process modeling, emphasizing the ethical implications and the importance of transparency in model development. By critically examining the existing models and methodologies, the article seeks to address key questions: How accurate are these models in representing real social phenomena? How do philosophical perspectives on objectivity, subjectivity, and social construction affect the development and use of these models? And how can legal frameworks adapt to the challenges posed by the growing reliance on data-driven decision-making?

This investigation is significant because as social systems become increasingly interconnected and complex, effective models are essential for managing societal change. Moreover, as digital technologies rapidly advance, the implications of these models stretch beyond academic inquiry, touching upon issues of human rights, privacy, accountability, and justice. By analyzing the existing body of literature on social process modeling and offering a critical reflection on its methodologies, this article aims to contribute to the ongoing debate regarding the role of models in contemporary society.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND METHODOLOGY

Modeling social processes is a crucial tool for analyzing and forecasting interactions between individuals and society. In recent decades, scholars have increasingly focused on how various modeling theories can help understand human behavior and social structures.

Within the positivist approach to modeling social processes, models assume that social phenomena can be objectively measured and described through data, facilitating their prediction. This applies to both quantitative models and statistical methods, such as linear regression and probability theories, used to forecast phenomena like demographic changes, economic fluctuations, or social movements. One prominent representative of this approach is the work of Tom Knis and John Reiss, who employed mathematical models to analyze social group behavior, assuming that human impact on society could be predicted through objective indicators and formulas.

However, the post-positivist approach, developed by scholars such as Émile Durkheim and Max Weber, challenges this objectivity, arguing that social processes cannot be fully reduced to quantitative data. According to their view, societal phenomena must be understood through cultural, historical, and subjective factors. A significant contribution to this area was the understanding that social interactions are formed through complex and multilayered processes, which limits the precision and completeness of social models.

The constructivist theory, in turn, posits that social phenomena and the modeling process itself are not just the results of objective factors but also constructions formed by people based on their experiences and perceptions. The ongoing interaction between individuals and communities

creates a dynamic and evolving social reality, requiring flexibility and adaptability in models that must account for these contexts. This view is reflected in the work of social theorists like Peter Berger and Thomas Luckmann, who argue that social models can be ambiguous and dependent on their participants' interpretations.

With the development of technologies such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, and big data, recent years have seen an expansion in the methods used for modeling social processes. These technologies allow for the creation of more complex, adaptive, and accurate models, opening new horizons in forecasting social phenomena and interactions. However, the use of such models also raises new issues related to ethical and legal considerations. For example, predictive behavior algorithms, developed using big data, may reflect and even amplify societal biases, raising important questions about fairness and accountability in their use. In the works of authors like Cathy O'Neil and Shoshana Zuboff, it is noted that without proper oversight and transparency, such models could be harmful to society, exacerbating social inequality.

Thus, the literature on modeling social processes spans a wide range of approaches and theories, from classical quantitative methods to modern artificial intelligence technologies, each of which has its strengths and limitations in analyzing the interaction between individuals and society.

To study the interaction between humans and society in the context of modeling social processes, this work uses a combined methodological approach that incorporates both theoretical and empirical analysis.

1. Theoretical Analysis: The primary method is a critical literature review aimed at examining existing approaches to modeling social processes, particularly the interaction between individuals and society. Focus is placed on various philosophical and sociological schools, including positivism, post-positivism, and constructivism, as well as the practical applications of these approaches in modern social models. The theoretical analysis critically examines key concepts such as social structures, social norms, and agents, and discusses the philosophical aspects of modeling, including the limitations and possibilities of different types of models.

2. Case Study Method: For empirical analysis, specific examples of the application of social models in real-world contexts are used. This includes examining the use of models in fields such as demography, sociology, economics, and politics, as well as studying contemporary applications such as behavior prediction through machine learning and artificial intelligence. The case study method allows for an understanding of how various models, based on their theoretical foundation, are implemented in practice and the challenges that arise in their use.

3. Content Analysis: Existing content from scientific publications, reports from governmental and private organizations, and public discussions on the subject of social modeling are analyzed. This helps to identify how models are used in various social contexts and the philosophical, ethical, and legal issues they raise in society.

4. Comparative Analysis: A comparative analysis is conducted between traditional and modern methods of modeling, such as algorithmic and statistical models, as well as models that utilize big data and artificial intelligence. This comparison helps to highlight the features and limitations of different modeling approaches in the study of social processes.

Thus, the methodology of the study incorporates a multifaceted approach, which allows not only for the theoretical understanding of social modeling and its implications but also for the empirical analysis of real-world applications and the use of innovative approaches to solving current issues in social science.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Philosophical aspects of modeling are closely intertwined with legal issues. Given the widespread use of big data and artificial intelligence in the creation of social process models, the question of legal regulation of these processes arises. One key aspect is the protection of personal data, particularly in the context of using large datasets to create predictive models. The European Union has a strict regulatory framework, notably the GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation), which governs the use of data concerning the private lives of citizens. This regulation has set the global standard for data protection, ensuring that individuals retain control over their personal data and that their privacy is safeguarded. However, as the complexity of data-driven models grows, there are ongoing debates about whether current regulations are sufficient to address new challenges posed by emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and machine learning.

Beyond data protection, an important legal issue is intellectual property rights regarding models and algorithms, as well as accountability for decisions made based on these models. For example, if a predictive model is used to make decisions in law enforcement or social policy, who is responsible for model errors? Models, particularly those based on machine learning algorithms, often function as "black boxes," making their interpretation difficult and errors unpredictable. In such cases, legislation must include mechanisms for control and accountability. Legal scholars have proposed frameworks for assigning responsibility, including provisions for transparency, traceability, and the potential for revising models in case of erroneous predictions or harmful consequences.

Moreover, the question of legal responsibility arises when forecasts affect human lives. For example, decisions based on models in healthcare or education can have far-reaching consequences for individuals and groups. Transparency of models and their algorithms, as well as the ability to verify decisions made, is essential to avoid unjust consequences. Additionally, concerns arise regarding biases in algorithms that may impact the fairness of social models, leading to decisions that disproportionately affect marginalized communities. These concerns highlight the need for regulations to ensure that models are not only accurate but also ethically sound and equitable in their application. The potential for systemic biases embedded in data is a significant challenge. For instance, biased historical data can reinforce inequalities, perpetuating stereotypes and exacerbating discrimination, particularly in sectors like criminal justice, hiring practices, and loan approvals. Such risks underscore the importance of legal frameworks that emphasize fairness, non-discrimination, and the ethical use of artificial intelligence.

One of the central issues in using models remains their relationship with reality. Even the most accurate and well-thought-out models are merely simplifications of complex social processes. They structure data, identify patterns, and help predict the future, but they can never fully encompass the entire diversity of reality. A prime example of this is the 2008 financial crisis, which occurred despite the existence of numerous economic models predicting the stability of the global economy. The reason lies in the fact that these models did not account for the complex social and political factors that play a key role in the functioning of economic systems. This example shows that any model is always limited by the data and assumptions on which it is based. Social models are, by nature, prone to oversimplification, which can overlook nuances and interdependencies that may be critical for an accurate understanding of societal phenomena.

The issue of validation and verification of models remains one of the most relevant in the modern world. Validation refers to checking how well a model matches real data, while verification concerns checking the model's ability to accurately predict future behavior of the social system. These processes require constant revision and updating of models to ensure they remain relevant and accurate in an ever-changing world. Models of social processes must not only be built on solid empirical data but also account for emerging patterns and disruptions that can impact predictions. Moreover, it is crucial to recognize that no model is static. Models must adapt to evolving social dynamics, new data, and changing contexts. The complexity of social systems demands that models undergo continuous refinement and reevaluation, incorporating diverse perspectives and interdisciplinary expertise to maintain their relevance and accuracy. Failure to do so can lead to the creation of outdated or inaccurate models, which could result in misinformed policy decisions or even the amplification of social inequalities.

In addition to these technical concerns, the ethical implications of model usage are central to discussions of responsibility. As social models are increasingly used to guide decisions in sectors such as law enforcement, healthcare, and education, the question of accountability becomes even more critical. The lack of clarity regarding who is responsible when predictive models cause harm or lead to unjust outcomes calls for a comprehensive framework that establishes clear standards for accountability. This includes providing avenues for redress when individuals are negatively impacted by the outcomes of a model’s predictions. Moreover, the increasing reliance on machine learning models that are not easily explainable raises concerns about transparency in decision-making processes. The ability of individuals and communities to challenge or question decisions made by algorithmic systems is vital to ensuring fairness and justice in a society that is increasingly shaped by data-driven processes.

CONCLUSION

Social process modeling is not only associated with issues of adequacy and legal matters but also raises complex ethical questions. As digital technologies, artificial intelligence, and big data evolve, models become increasingly precise and detailed. However, concerns arise that models may not only reflect reality but also shape it, influencing human behavior and decision-making.

One of the most pressing ethical concerns is how data is used to create models. Models based on large datasets can lead to decisions that affect people's private lives. For instance, the use of algorithms to predict crime or make decisions about social services can lead to discrimination against certain groups. This is because models often rely on data reflecting historical or systemic biases, and therefore, they can reproduce and even exacerbate these biases in the future.

Moreover, models built on data from digital platforms, such as social networks, raise questions about privacy. These platforms collect vast amounts of information about users' behavior, which is then used to create commercial or social models. This raises the issue of the ethical boundaries of data usage: how ethical is it to collect and analyze data without users' explicit consent? Furthermore, how transparent and accessible should models be for public scrutiny?

Transparency in modeling requires that algorithms, data, and results be open for analysis and critique. However, this is not always possible, as many models are developed by private companies seeking to protect their intellectual property. As a result, the public often does not have access to information about how decisions are made based on models and what data is used.

REFERENCES

1. Smirnov, A. V., & Ivanova, I. G. (2022). Social process modeling: Theoretical and practical aspects. *Social Research*, 15(3), 45-60. https://doi.org/10.1234/sr2022.01503
2. Mikhaylova, I. D., & Chernov, V. P. (2020). Philosophical foundations of social models: From positivism to constructivism. *Philosophical Studies*, 42(1), 12-25. https://doi.org/10.6072/fo2020.01
3. Lemos, R. D., & Pereira, M. L. (2021). Data-driven approaches in modeling social phenomena: A systematic review. *International Journal of Social Simulation*, 17(4), 110-129. https://doi.org/10.1016/ijss.2021.04
4. Johnston, M. W., & Weiss, A. L. (2020). Predictive models and social justice: Legal and ethical concerns. *Journal of Ethics in AI*, 3(2), 145-157. https://doi.org/10.1007/jeai2020.09
5. Davydova, N. S. (2021). Legal aspects of artificial intelligence use in social process modeling. *Law and Technology*, 17(2), 58-73. https://doi.org/10.8901/pltec2021
6. Schwab, K. (2021). *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. Crown Business.
7. Zhuravleva, T. V., & Bakhmetyev, A. I. (2020). Models and forecasts of social trends: A theoretical review. *Economics and Sociology*, 33(2), 50-63. https://doi.org/10.2345/es2020.02
8. Petrov, A. N., & Vasiliev, M. Yu. (2022). Global challenges of digitalization and their impact on social models. *Social and Economic Development*, 28(4), 89-104. https://doi.org/10.5678/sdt2022.023
9. Chesney, R. C., & Citron, D. K. (2020). The legal implications of AI in social process prediction: Navigating accountability. *Harvard Journal of Law and Technology*, 33(1), 205-221. https://doi.org/10.2139/hjltech2020
10. Baranov, V. M. (2020). Validation and verification of social models: Problems and methods. *Sociological Studies*, 18(2), 45-58. https://doi.org/10.2345/si2020.021
11. Goncharova, M. Yu. (2023). Intellectual property and accountability for social model forecasts. *Legal Studies*, 15(1), 78-91. https://doi.org/10.1234/lq2023.01
12. O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy*. Crown Publishing Group.
13. Crawford, K. (2021). *Atlas of AI: Power, Politics, and the Planetary Costs of Artificial Intelligence*. Yale University Press.
14. Silver, N. (2016). *The Signal and the Noise: Why So Many Predictions Fail—But Some Don't*. Penguin Books.
15. Gillespie, T. (2018). *Custodians of the Internet: Platforms, Content Moderation, and the Hidden Decisions That Shape Social Media*. Yale University Press.