ЎЗБЕКИСТОН РЕСПУБЛИКАСИ ОЛИЙ ВА ЎРТА МАХСУС ТАЪЛИМ ВАЗИРЛИГИ

ФАРҒОНА ДАВЛАТ УНИВЕРСИТЕТИ

FarDU. **ILMIY XABARLAR-**

1995 йилдан нашр этилади Йилда 6 марта чикади

НАУЧНЫЙ ВЕСТНИК. ФерГУ

Издаётся с 1995 года Выходит 6 раз в год

Аниқ ва табиий фанлар

П

H

I

H

: 517.93

DIFFERENTIAL GAMES OF THE SECOND ORDER ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛЬНЫЕ ИГРЫ ВТОРОГО ПОРЯДКА ИККИНЧИ ТАРТИБЛИ ДИФФЕРЕНЦИАЛ ЎЙИНЛАР

B.T.Samatov, U.B.Soyibboev, U.A.Mirzamahmudov

Annotation

In this paper, we study the pursuit-evasion problem for the second order differential game when the initial positions of moving objects are linearly dependent and controls of the players have geometric constraints. The newsufficient solvability conditions are obtained for problems of the pursuit and evasion.

Аннотация

В настоящей работе изучается задача преследования-убегания для дифференциальных игр второго
порядка, когда начальные состояния и начальные скорости игроков линейно зависимы при геометрических ограничениях на управления. Получены новые достаточные условия разрешимости для задач преследования и убегания.

Аннотация

Маколада харакатланувчи объектларнинг бошланғич холатлари ва бошланғич тезликлари чизикли боғлиқ хамда бошқарувлари геометрик чегараланишга эга хол учун иккинчи тартибли дифференциал ўйинларда қувишқочиш масаласи ўрганилган. Бунда қувувчи ва қочувчи учун янги етарлилик шартлари таклиф қилинган.

Keywords and expressions: differential game, acceleration, geometric constraint, evader, pursuer, initial positions, strategy.

Ключевые слова и выражения: дифференциальная игра, ускорение, геометрическое ограничение, убегающий, преследователь, начальные состояния, стратегия.

Таянч сўз ва иборалар: дифференциал ўйин, тезланиш, геометрик чегараланиш, кочувчи, кувловчи, бошланғич қолат, стратегия.

I. Introduction

Early sample of the Pursuit-Evasion problems is generally assumed to begin with a problem posed and solved in 1732 by the French mathematician and hydrographer Pierre Bouguer [22]. A more recent treatment of the history appear in the book P.Nahin [22]. But Pursuit-Evasion of the problems began to be studied systematically by the American mathematician Rufus Isaacs in 50's. The concept of" Differential Games" first appeared in his series of secret works of the project of Corporation RAND (USA). R.Isaacs studies were published in the form of monographs [13], which contained a great deal of brilliant differential game examples. The Author looked at them as problems of Variation Calculus and tried to apply the Hamilton-Jacoby method now known as Isaacs' method. But the subject turned out a far complicated for classical methods. The idea used by R.Isaacs had heuristic character only. Never the less the book [13] created interest to new problems. It was then that mathematicians and mechanics, specialists and amateurs began to consider differential games.

Modern Differential Games set the theory of development of mathematical methods of control processes, combines the dynamism, control, fighting, awareness, and optimal number of other important

qualities, and represent one of the most complicated mathematical models of real processes having great practical importance. The Theory's foundation was settled by the mathematicians W.Fleming [9], A.Friedman [10], O.Hajek [11-12], L.S.Pontryagin [29], N.N.Krasovskiy [18-19], L.A.Petrosyan [23-28], B.N.Pshenichnyi [30]. This authors settled their own approach to the subject. Its further development was achieved by many specialists [1-7, 8-9, 14-17, 20, 21, 31-41 and others].

At the present time there are more than a hundred monographs on the theory. Never the less completely solved samples of Differential Games are quite few. In this paper, we study the pursuit-evasion problem for the second order differential game when the initial positions of moving objects are linearly dependent and controls of the players have geometric constraints. The new sufficient solvability conditions are obtained for problems of the pursuit and evasion. own approach to the subject. Its further
development was achieved by many specialists
[1-7, 8-9, 14-17, 20, 21, 31-41 and others].
At the present time there are more than a
hundred monographs on the theory. Never the
less

II. Formulation of the problems

Let P and E objects with opposite aim

be given in the space \boldsymbol{R}^n and their movements based on the following differential equations and initial conditions

$$
\mathbf{P}: \quad \ddot{x} = u \,, \quad x_1 - kx_0 = 0 \,, \quad |u| \le \alpha \,, \quad (1)
$$

B.T.Samatov - doctor of physics-mathematics, professor of NamSU. U.B.Sovibboev - master student of NamSU.

MATEMATIVKA
 $\mathbf{E}: \ddot{y} = v, y_1 - ky_0 = 0, |\mathbf{v}| \leq \beta$, (2)

where $x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$; $x - a$ position $(y_0, y_1, v(\cdot)), v(\cdot) \in V$, the solution

of **P** object inthe space \mathbb{R}^n , $y(t) = y_0 + y_1 t + \int_0^t v(\tau) d\tau ds$ is

and velocit and velocity respectively at $t = 0$; u being a controlled acceleration of the pursuer, MATEMATI/IKA
 $\mathbf{E}: \ddot{y} = v, y_1 - ky_0 = 0, |\mathbf{v}| \leq \beta$, (2)

where $x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$; $x - a$ position ($y_0, y_1, v(\cdot)$), $v(\cdot) \in V$, then

where $x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$; $x - a$ position (2),

of **P** object in

the space $\mathbb{R}^$ and it is chosen as a measurable function with respect to time; we denote a set of all measurable functions $u(\cdot)$ that satisfies the condition $|u| \leq \alpha$ by $v(\cdot) \in V$ of the evader and the following U . V – a position of **E** object in the space \boldsymbol{R}^n **EVALUATEMATIFIER ANTERE AT THE SET ASSET ANTERE IN A SUBSET USE A THE SET AND NOTE IN THE SET AND NOTE IN THE SET AND NOTE IN THE SET AND NOTE ANTERE AT A SUBSETIAL AND NOTE IN THE SET AND NOTE AND NOTE AND NOTE AND NOTE** and velocity respectively at $t = 0$; v – being a controlled acceleration of the evader, mapping v. $y_1 \rightarrow y_0 \rightarrow y_1 + y_0 \rightarrow 0$

where $x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$; $x - a$ position and $y(t) = y_0 + y_1 t +$
 $x_0 = x(0), x_1 = \dot{x}(0) -$ its initial position $y(t) = y_0 + y_1 t +$

and velocity respectively at $t = 0$; $u -$ trajectory of the evademy a measurable function with respect to time; we denote a set of all measurable functions $v(\cdot)$ that satisfies the condition $|v| \leq \beta$ by V. being a controlled acceleration of the pursuer,

mapping $u:[0,\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and it is chosen ifferential game (1)-(2) is called two

we denote a set of all measurable functions of the pursuer for any control
 $u(\cdot)$ that e denote a set of all measurable functions

(\cdot) that satisfies the condition $|u| \le \alpha$ by $V(\cdot) \in V$ of the evader and the follo

(\cdot) that satisfies the condition $|u| \le \alpha$ by $V(\cdot) \in V$ of the evader and the follo we denote a set of all measurable functions of the pursuer for any control function
 $U \cdot Y$ - a position of E object in the space R'' equality is carried out at some finite time t^*
 $y_0 = y(0), y_1 = y(0)$ - its initial po

Definition 1. For a trio of equation (1), that is, $_{0} + x_{1}t$ $\overline{0}$ 0 $t \overline{s}$

trajectory of the pursuer on interval $t \geq 0$.

MATEMATI/IKA
 $\mathbf{E}: \ddot{y} = v, y_1 - ky_0 = 0, |\mathbf{v}| \le \beta$, (2)

where $x, y, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$; $x - a$ position $(y_0, y_1, v(\cdot)), v(\cdot) \in V$, the so

of **P** object in the space \mathbb{R}^n , $y(t) = y_0 + y_1 t + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} v(\tau) d\tau ds$
 $x_0 = x(0),$ MATINKA

EMATINKA
 $= v, y_1 - ky_0 = 0, |\nu| \le \beta$, (2)

where x, y, u, $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$; x – a position $(y_0, y_1, v(\cdot)), v(\cdot) \in V$, the solution
 \mathbb{P} object in the space \mathbb{R}^n ,
 $x(0), x_1 = \dot{x}(0)$ – its initial position $y(t) = y_0$ Definition 2. For a trio of **AHVIK BA TAŐVIVÝ QAHJAP**
 Definition 2. For a trio of
 $(y_0, y_1, v(\cdot)), v(\cdot) \in V$, the solution of the

equation (2), that is,
 $y(t) = y_0 + y_1 t + \int_0^t \int_0^y v(\tau) d\tau ds$ is called a equation (2) , that is, **AHIX BA TAÖNIN PAHIAP**
 Definition 2. For a trio of V_0 , y_1 , $v(\cdot)$), $v(\cdot) \in V$, the solution of the (2), that is,
 $(t) = y_0 + y_1 t + \int_0^t \int_0^s v(\tau) d\tau ds$ is called a $\int_0^t \int_0^s$

ajectory of the evader on interval $\frac{t}{r}$ AHIVIK BA TAÖNINI PAHIAB

Definition 2. For a trio of
 $(y_0, y_1, v(\cdot))$, $v(\cdot) \in V$, the solution of the

equation (2), that is,
 $y(t) = y_0 + y_1 t + \int_0^t v(\tau) d\tau ds$ is called a

rajectory of the evader on interval $t \ge 0$.

Defin

$$
y(t) = y_0 + y_1 t + \int_{0}^{t} y(\tau) d\tau ds
$$
 is called a

 $u -$ trajectory of the evader on interval $t \geq 0$.

Definition 3. The pursuit problem for the differential game (1) - (2) is called to be solved if there exists such control function $u^*(\cdot) \in U$ $\frac{u}{v}$ фанлар

trio of

tion of the

is,

is called a
 ≥ 0 .

blem for the

be solved if
 $u^*(\cdot) \in U$

bl function

e following

time t^* of the pursuer for any control function **Example 12**
 Value 10
 Controller Confidence Controller Confidence Confide equality is carried out at some finite time t^{\dagger} AHIK BA TAO WIM ϕ AHIARD

2. For a trio of

(c) $\in V$, the solution of the

(2), that is,
 $+\int_{0}^{t} y(\tau) d\tau ds$ is called a

vader on interval $t \ge 0$.

3. The pursuit problem for the

(1)-(2) is called to be solved if

i

$$
^{\ast})=y(t^{\ast}).
$$

(3) **Definition 4.** For the problem (1) - (2) , time T is called a guaranteed pursuit time if it is equal to an upper boundary of all the finite values of pursuit time \vec{t} satisfying the equality (3).

Definition 5. For differential game (1)- $-(2)$, an evasion problem is said to be held however, the pursuer chooses any control **Definition 3.** The eventer at $i \geq 0$.
 Definition 3. The pursuit problem for the

differential game (1)-(2) is called to be solved if

there exists such control function $u^*(\cdot) \in U$

of the pursuer for any control fu fferential game (1)-(2) is called to be solved if
ere exists such control function $u^*(\cdot) \in U$
the pursuer for any control function
(\cdot) $\in V$ of the evader and the following
quality is carried out at some finite time there exists such control function $u(\cdot) \in U$
of the pursuer for any control function
 $v(\cdot) \in V$ of the evader and the following
equality is carried out at some finite time t^*
 $x(t^*) = y(t^*)$.
Definition 4. For the problem that is found according to those control functions: ied out at some finite time t^*
 $x(t^*) = y(t^*)$.
 n 4. For the problem (1)-(2),

ed a guaranteed pursuit time if it

upper boundary of all the finite

uit time t^* satisfying the equality
 n 5. For differential game notion with respect to time; we values of pursuit time *t* satisfying the equality

dil measurable functions $v(\cdot)$ (3). The resolution 5. For differential game (1)-

le condition $|v| \le \beta$ by V . $\langle v \rangle$ explores the pu

$$
x(t) \neq y(t), \quad t \geq 0
$$
 (4)

III. The solve of the pursuit problem

Definition 6. For the differential game (1) - (2) , the following function is called Π -strategy of the pursuer ([2]-[4]):

$$
u(v) = v - \lambda(v) \xi_0,
$$
 (5)

where $\lambda(v, \xi_0) = (v, \xi_0) + \sqrt{(v, \xi_0)^2 + \alpha^2 - |v|^2}$ (v, ξ_0) is the scalar product of thevectors $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ and $\mathbf{\mathcal{\zeta}}_0$ in the space $\boldsymbol{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$. bed a that is foundations the form the digeocoles $x(t)$, $y(t)$

ded a that is found according to those control

functions:
 $x(t) \neq y(t)$, $t \ge 0$ (4)

olve of the pursuit problem

me (1)-(2), the following function is call

Property 1. If $\alpha \geq \beta$, then a function $\lambda\big(v, \zeta_0\big)$ is continuous, nonnegative and defined for all v that satisfies the inequality $|v| \leq \beta$.

Property 2. If $\alpha \geq \beta$, then the following inequality is true for the function $\,\lambda\big(\nu,\xi_0\big)\,$: $\alpha - |v| \leq \lambda(v, \xi_0) \leq \alpha + |v|.$

Theorem 1. If one of the following conditions holds for the second order differential game **AHIVE BET ATTEM ATTANT THEORY THEORY II.** If one of the following conditions holds for the second order differential game (1)–(2), that is, 1. $\alpha = \beta$ and $k < 0$; or 2. $\alpha > \beta$ and $k \in R$, then by virtue of strategy (5) (5) the guaranteed pursuit time becomes as follows

2 2 0 0 0 0 2 / (), if 0 and , 1/ , if 0 and , 2 / () , if 0 and . z k z k z k k k ^T z k any control function ^v() ^V . Then, according to the equations (1) z v t , z kz 0 (0) 0 (1) (), z t z kt v d ds (1) (), ((),) () z t z kt v v v d ds.

Proof. Suppose the pursuer choose the strategy in the form (5) when the evader chooses gy in the form (5) when the evader chooses

the equations (1)-(2), we have the following
 $\dot{z}(0) - kz(0) = 0$

given initial conditions
 $\int_{0.0}^{t_0} \lambda(\nu(\tau), \xi_0) d\tau ds$
 $\cdot \sqrt{(\nu(\tau), \xi_0)^2 + \alpha^2 - |\nu(\tau)|^2} d\tau ds$.

Example 10 and e the strategy in the form (5) when the evader chooses

coording to the equations (1)-(2), we have the following
 $v(t)$) ξ_0 , $\dot{z}(0) - kz(0) = 0$

und by the given initial conditions
 $t+1$) $-\xi_0 \int_0^t \int_0^s \lambda(v(\tau), \xi_0) d\$ if $k = 0$ and $\alpha > \beta$.

gy in the form (5) when the evader chooses

the equations (1)-(2), we have the following
 $\dot{z}(0) - kz(0) = 0$

given initial conditions
 $\int_{0}^{t} \lambda \left(\nu(\tau), \xi_0\right) d\tau ds$
 $\sqrt{\left(\nu(\tau), \xi_0\right)^2 + \alpha^2 - \left|\nu(\tau$ rsuer choose the strategy in the form (5) when the evader chooses

V. Then, according to the equations (1)-(2), we have the following
 $\ddot{z} = -\lambda \Big(\nu(t)\Big)\xi_0$, $\dot{z}(0) - kz(0) = 0$

ion will be found by the given initial co

$$
\ddot{z} = -\lambda \big(v(t) \big) \xi_0, \quad \dot{z}(0) - kz(0) = 0
$$

Thus the following solution will be found by the given initial conditions

$$
z(t) = z_0(kt+1) - \xi_0 \int_0^t \int_0^s \lambda(v(\tau), \xi_0) d\tau ds
$$

or

$$
\left|z(t)\right| = \left|z_0\right|(kt+1) - \int_0^t \int_0^s \left(\left(\nu(\tau), \xi_0\right) + \sqrt{\left(\nu(\tau), \xi_0\right)^2 + \alpha^2 - \left|\nu(\tau)\right|^2}\right) d\tau ds.
$$

According to the properties 1-2, we will form the following inequalities

$$
E = V
$$
. Then, according to the equations (1)–(2), we have the following
\n
$$
E = V
$$
. Then, according to the equations (1)–(2), we have the following
\n
$$
E = -\lambda (v(t))\xi_0, \quad \dot{z}(0) - kz(0) = 0
$$

\nolution will be found by the given initial conditions
\n
$$
z(t) = z_0(kt + 1) - \xi_0 \int_0^t \int_0^s \lambda (v(\tau), \xi_0) d\tau ds
$$

\n
$$
kt + 1 = \int_0^t \int_0^s ((v(\tau), \xi_0) + \sqrt{(v(\tau), \xi_0)^2 + \alpha^2 - |v(\tau)|^2}) d\tau ds
$$
.
\nperities 1–2, we will form the following inequalities
\n
$$
|z(t)| \le |z_0|(kt + 1) - \int_0^t (α - |v(\tau)|) d\tau ds,
$$

\n
$$
|z(t)| \le |z_0|(kt + 1) + t^2(\beta - \alpha)/2.
$$

\n
$$
f(t, a, k, \alpha, \beta) = a(kt + 1) - \frac{t^2}{2}(\alpha - \beta), \quad a = |z_0|.
$$

\n(6)
\n
$$
f(t, a, k, \alpha, \beta) = a(kt + 1)
$$
 and it is increasing function (Fig.1).
\n
$$
f(t, a, k, \alpha, \beta) = |z_0|
$$
 is constant function (Fig.2).

We denote

$$
f(t, a, k, \alpha, \beta) = a(kt + 1) - \frac{t^2}{2}(\alpha - \beta), \ a = |z_0|.
$$
 (6)

1. Let be $\alpha = \beta$.

1.2. If $k = 0$, then $f(t, a, k, \alpha, \beta) = |z_0|$ is constant function (Fig.2).

$$
T_{>} = \left(|z_0|k + \sqrt{|z_0|^2 k^2 + 2|z_0|(\alpha - \beta)} \right) / (\alpha - \beta)
$$
 (Fig.4).

MATEMATIKA
 $T_z = (|z_0|k + \sqrt{|z_0|^2 k^2 + 2|z_0|(\alpha - \beta)}) / (\alpha - \beta)$ (Fig.4).

Maximal value of the function (6) is $f(t_0) = (2|z_0|(\alpha - \beta) + |z_0|^2 k^2) / 2(\alpha - \beta)$ at

moment $t_0 = |z_0|k / (\alpha - \beta)$. Maximal value of the function (6) is $f(t_0) = (2|z_0|(\alpha-\beta)+|z_0|^2\,k^2\big)/\,2(\alpha-\beta)$ at MATEMATIIKA
 $T_{\scriptscriptstyle >} = \left(\left| z_0 \right| k + \sqrt{\left| z_0 \right|^2 k^2 + 2 \left| z_0 \right| \left(\alpha - \beta \right)} \right) / (\alpha - \beta)$ (Fig.4).

Maximal value of the function (6) is $f(t_0) = \left(2 \left| z_0 \right| \left(\alpha - \beta \right) + \left| z_0 \right|^2 k^2 \right) / 2(\alpha - \beta)$

moment $t_0 = \left| z_0 \right| k / (\alpha -$

2.2. If $k < 0$, then the function (6) decreases monotonically and this function turns to zero at time T_{\geq} as in the case 2.1 (Fig.5).

2.3. If $k = 0$, then $f\bigl(t, a, k, \alpha, \beta\bigr)$ $=$ a $$ t^2 (ii) t^2 (iii) t^2 iii) t^2 iii)

In conclusion, the relation (3) is true at some time t^* according to the inequality $^{2}(R - \alpha)/2$ and proporting of 0 | $(\mu$ ^T 1) $\pm i$ $(\mu - \alpha)$ / λ and 4.
 $\begin{aligned}\n\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} &= \frac{t^2}{2} (\alpha - \beta) \quad \text{and the pursuit time equals, to} \\
\mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1 &= \frac{t^2}{2} (\alpha - \beta) \quad \text{and the pursuit time equals, to} \\
\mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 &= \frac{t^2}{2} (\alpha - \beta) \quad \text{and the pursuit time equals, to} \\
\mathbf{r}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2 &= \frac{t^2}{2} \quad \text{and properties of (6), and it is determined that a relation} \\
\mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2$ are 4.
 t^* 0 t_0 Figure 5.
 a, k, α, β $= a - \frac{t^2}{2} (\alpha - \beta)$ and the pursuit time equals, to

on (3) is true at some time t^* according to the inequality
 α α β and properties of (6), and it is determine 2.3. If $k = 0$, then $f(t, a, k, \alpha, \beta) = a - \frac{t^2}{2}(\alpha - \beta)$ and the pursuit time equence $\sqrt{2|z_0|/(\alpha - \beta)}$.

In conclusion, the relation (3) is true at some time t^* according to the ine $|\leq |z_0| (kt + 1) + t^2 (\beta - \alpha)/2$ and prop 2 (α *P)* and the parsall time equals, to
at some time t^* according to the inequality
berties of (6), and it is determined that a relation
red, which completes the proof of the Theorem 1.
if the evasion problem
se at some time the according to the intequality
perties of (6), and it is determined that a relation
ved, which completes the proof of the Theorem 1.
of the evasion problem
ose a strategy of the evader as follows:
2) we cal e relation (3) is true at some time *t* according to the inequality $r^2(\beta - \alpha)/2$ and properties of (6), and it is determined that a relation e pursuit problem is solved, which completes the proof of the Theorem 1.

IV. Th e at some time *t* according to the inequality
operties of (6), and it is determined that a relation
olved, which completes the proof of the Theorem 1.
of the evasion problem
 $\cos \theta$ a strategy of the evader as follows:
2)

IV. The solve of the evasion problem

To solve the evasion problem we will propose a strategy of the evader as follows: **Definition 7.** In differential game $(1) - (2)$ we call the strategy of the evader the following function:

it problem is solved, which completes the proof of the Theorem 1.
\nIV. The solve of the evasion problem
\nem we will propose a strategy of the evader as follows:
\nI game (1) – (2) we call the strategy of the evader the following
\n
$$
v^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} \beta
$$
, $t \ge 0$, (7)
\nI) – (2), the evasion problem is solved by the strategy of the evader
\nen the objects will be in the following form:
\n $(z_0, \beta, |z_0|) = \begin{cases} |z_0|kt + |z_0|, & \alpha = \beta, \\ \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}t^2 + |z_0|kt + |z_0|, & \alpha < \beta. \end{cases}$

Theorem 2. If one of the following conditions holds:

1.
$$
\alpha = \beta
$$
 and $k \ge 0$; or 2. $\alpha < \beta$ and $k \in (-\sqrt{2(\alpha - \beta)/|z_0|}; +\infty)$,

then for differential game (1) – (2) , the evasion problem is solved by the strategy of the evader (7) and a change function between the objects will be in the following form:

F*t* (β − α*t*) / 2 and properties of (b), and it is determined that a relation
\nthe pursuit problem is solved, which completes the proof of the Theorem 1.
\n**IV. The solve of the evasion problem**
\nA is not not a stable problem
\nNo. The **sub** of the **evasion problem**
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≤ 0, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥ 0,
$$
\n
$$
V^*(t) = -\frac{z_0}{|z_0|} β, t ≥
$$

Proof. Suppose, let the pursuer choose any control function $u(\cdot) \in U$ and the evader choose the control function (7) . Then according to (1) – (2) we have the following solutions: MATEMATIVIKA

function $u(\cdot) \in U$ and the evader

aave the following solutions:
 $\int d\tau ds$,
 $\int d\tau ds$.
 $d\tau ds + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} \frac{z_0}{|z_0|} \beta d\tau ds$,

ditions, we form a relation $z_1 = kz_0$.
 $\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} u(\tau) d\tau ds$.

Suppose, let the pursue choose any control function
$$
u(\cdot) \in U
$$
 and the exact
\ncontrol function (7). Then according to (1)-(2) we have the following solutions:
\n
$$
x(t) = x_0 + tx_1 + \int_0^t \int_0^s u(\tau) d\tau ds,
$$
\n
$$
y(t) = y_0 + ty_1 + \int_0^t \int_0^s v^*(\tau) d\tau ds.
$$
\n
$$
z(t) = x(t) - y(t) = z_0 + z_1 t + \int_0^t \int_0^s u(\tau) d\tau ds + \int_0^t \int_{\tau_0}^s \frac{z_0}{|z_0|} \beta d\tau ds,
$$
\n
$$
z_1 = \dot{x}(0) - \dot{y}(0).
$$
 If we subtract the initial conditions, we form a relation $z_1 = kz_0$.
\nwhere the following equality:
\n
$$
z(t) = z_0(kt + 1) + \frac{z_0}{|z_0|} \beta \frac{t^2}{2} + \int_0^t \int_0^s u(\tau) d\tau ds.
$$
\n
$$
z(t) = z_0(kt + 1) + \frac{z_0}{|z_0|} \beta \frac{t^2}{2} + \int_0^t \int_0^s u(\tau) d\tau ds.
$$
\n
$$
|z(t)| \ge |z_0(kt + 1) + \frac{z_0}{|z_0|} \beta \frac{t^2}{2} - \int_0^t \int_0^s u(\tau) d\tau ds |z|
$$

Now write their distinction function:

$$
z(t) = x(t) - y(t) = z_0 + z_1 t + \int_0^t \int_0^s u(\tau) d\tau ds + \int_0^t \int_{\tau_0}^s \frac{z_0}{|z_0|} \beta d\tau ds,
$$

where $z_1 = \dot{x}(0) - \dot{y}(0)$. If we subtract the initial conditions, we form a relation $z_1 = kz_0$. From this, we have the following equality:

$$
z(t) = z_0(kt+1) + \frac{z_0}{|z_0|} \beta \frac{t^2}{2} + \int_0^t \int_0^s u(\tau) d\tau ds.
$$

Evaluate the absolute value of this function from low:

$$
x(t) = x_0 + tx_1 + \int_0^t u(\tau) d\tau ds,
$$

\n
$$
y(t) = y_0 + ty_1 + \int_0^t v(\tau) d\tau ds.
$$

\nNow write their distinction function:
\n
$$
z(t) = x(t) - y(t) = z_0 + z_1 t + \int_0^t \int_0^s u(\tau) d\tau ds + \int_0^t \int_0^s \frac{z_0}{|z_0|} \beta d\tau ds,
$$

\nwhere $z_1 = \dot{x}(0) - \dot{y}(0)$. If we subtract the initial conditions, we form a relation $z_1 = kz_0$.
\nthis, we have the following equality:
\n
$$
z(t) = z_0(kt + 1) + \frac{z_0}{|z_0|} \beta \frac{t^2}{2} + \int_0^t u(\tau) d\tau ds.
$$

\nEvaluate the absolute value of this function from low:
\n
$$
|z(t)| \geq |z_0(kt + 1) + \frac{z_0}{|z_0|} \beta \frac{t^2}{2} - \left| \int_0^t u(\tau) d\tau ds | \geq
$$

\n
$$
\geq |z_0|(kt + 1) + \beta \frac{t^2}{2} - \int_0^t \int_0^s u(\tau) d\tau ds = |z_0| (kt + 1) + (\beta - \alpha) \frac{t^2}{2}.
$$

\nWe will consider as a parametric function the right side of the latest inequality:
\n
$$
f(k, t, \alpha, \beta, |z_0|) = |z_0|(kt + 1) + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}t^2.
$$

\nWe will consider the function (8) we will introduce some simplifications, i.e., $|z_0| = a$,
\nTo check properties of the function (8) we will introduce some simplifications, i.e., $|z_0| = a$.
\nTo check properties of the function (9) with respect to parameters ρ , σ and k .
\n1. Let be $\alpha = \beta$. Then $f(k, t) = a + akt$ and we will analyze this function in respect of a sign
\nmatter.
\n1.1. Let be $k > 0$. Consequently $ak > 0$, and there doesn't exist a positive solution *t* which
\n1.2. Let be $k = 0$. Thus f

We will consider as a parametric function the right side of the latest inequality:

$$
f(k, t, \alpha, \beta, |z_0|) = |z_0| (kt + 1) + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2} t^2.
$$
 (8)

To check properties of the function (8) we will introduce some simplifications, i.e., $\left\vert z_{0}\right\vert =a$, 2 , \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . Therefore, the function (8) becomes in the following form: $\geq |\mathcal{Z}_0|(kt+1) + \beta \frac{t}{2}) - \iint_{0} |u(\tau)| d\tau ds \geq |\mathcal{Z}_0|(kt+1) + (\beta - \alpha) \frac{t}{2}$.

We will consider as a parametric function the right side of the latest inequality:
 $f(k, t, \alpha, \beta, |z_0|) = |\mathcal{Z}_0|(kt+1) + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}t^2$. (8)

To check We will consider as a parametric function the right side of the latest inequality:
 $f(k, t, \alpha, \beta, |z_0|) = |z_0|(kt + 1) + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}t^2$. (8)

To check properties of the function (8) we will introduce some simplifications, i.e.,

$$
f(k,t,\gamma) = a + akt + \gamma t^2.
$$
 (9)

Now we will check the function (9) with respect to parameters ρ, σ and k .

of parameter k :

1.1. Let be $k > 0$. Consequently $ak > 0$, and there doesn't exist a positive solution t which the function equals to zero. So in this case, the evasion holds (Fig.6).

MATEMATI/IKA

(9). We will analyze the function (9) in relation to a sign of parameter k .

2.1. Let be $k < 0$. Then $t_0 = -\frac{an}{2\mu} > 0$ 2 ak $t_0 = -\frac{u\kappa}{\epsilon} > 0$ is a minimum approach point. In order to being the evasion held a discriminant $D = a^2 k^2 - 4a\gamma$ must be negative, i.e., $D = a^2 k^2 - 4a\gamma < 0$. Therefore, $k^2 < \frac{4k^2}{2}$ a and we have an interval $k \in] - \sqrt{2}$, $] - \sqrt{2}$, $]$. If we unite the $f(t)$
 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

2. Let be
 $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

2. Let be
 $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

2. Let be
 $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

2. Let be
 $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

2. Let b 2() 2() ^k , z z 2. Let be
 $\sqrt{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$, $\frac{1}{\gamma}$
 $\frac{1}{\gamma}$, $\frac{1}{\gamma}$

coint. In order to being the
 $D = a^2 k^2 - 4a\gamma < 0$.
 $\frac{\beta - \alpha}{|z_0|}$. If we unite the
 $k \in \left(-\sqrt{\frac{2(\beta - \alpha)}{|z_9|}}, 0\right)$

a pursuit time because of 2.1. Let be $k < 0$. Then $t_0 = -\frac{2}{2\gamma} > 0$ is a minimum approach point. In order to being the
vasion held a discriminant $D = a^2k^2 - 4ay$ must be negative, i.e., $D = a^2k^2 - 4ay < 0$.
therefore, $k^2 < \frac{4\gamma}{a}$ and we have a

latest interval with an interval $\,k\!<\!0,\,$ then the evasion holds on interval 9 \vert \vert z_9 $\left\lfloor \frac{3}{2} \right\rfloor$

(Fig.9).

2.2. Let be $\overline{k} = 0$. Then $f(t,\gamma)$ $=$ $a + \gamma t^2 \,$ a $\gamma > 0$. Thus the evasion holds (Fig.10).

2.3. Let be $k \, {>} \, 0$. Consequently, in the function (9), $t_{\rm 0} < 0$. Hence there is no a positive solution t which the function equals to zero. So in this case, the evasion holds (Fig.11).

In conclusion, the relation (4) is true in all values of interval $t \geq 0$ according to the inequality $|z(t)| \ge f(k,t,\alpha,\beta,|z_0|)$ and properties of (9), that is, the evasion problem is solved, which completes the proof of the Theorem 2.

References:

1. Azamov A.A., About the quality problem for the games of simple pursuit with the restriction (in Russian), Serdika. Bulgarian math.spisanie, 12, 1986, -P. 38-43.

2. Azamov A.A., Samatov B.T. Π-Strategy. An Elementary introduction to the Theory of Differential Games. - T. : National Univ. of Uzb., 2000. - P. 32.

3. Azamov A.A., Samatov B.T. The Π -Strategy: Analogies and Applications, The Fourth International Conference Game Theory andManagement , June 28-30, 2010, St. Petersburg, Russia,Collected papers. - P.33-47.

4. Azamov A., Kuchkarov A.Sh. Generalized 'Lion Man' Game of R.Rado, Contributions to game theory and management. Second International Conference "Game Theory and Management" -St.Petersburg, Graduate School of Management SPbU. - St.Petersburg, 2009. - Vol.11. - P. 8-20.

5. Azamov A.A., Kuchkarov A.Sh., Samatov B.T. The Relation between Problems of Pursuit,Controllability and Stability in the Large in Linear Systems with Different Types of Constraints, J.Appl.Maths and Mechs. -Elsevier. - Netherlands, 2007. - Vol. 71. - N 2. - P. 229-233.

6. Barton J.C, Elieser C.J. On pursuit curves, J. Austral. Mat. Soc. B.- London, 2000. - Vol.41.- N 3. - P. 358-371.

7. Borovko P., Rzymowsk W., Stachura A.Evasion from many pursuers in the simple case, J. Math. Anal.AndAppl. - 1988. - Vol.135. - N 1. - P. 75-80.

8. Chikrii A.A., Conflict-controlled processes, Boston-London-Dordrecht: Kluwer Academ. Publ., 1997, 424 p.

9. Fleming W. H. The convergence problem for differentialgames, J.Math. Anal. Appl. - 1961. - N 3. - P. 102-116. 10. A. Friedman, Differential Games, New York: Wiley, 1971, 350 p.

11. Hajek O. Control Theory in the Plane (Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences) - Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag, 2009. - 220 p.

12. Hajek O. Pursuit Games: An Introduction to the Theory and Applications of Differential Games of Pursuit and Evasion. - NY.: Dove. Pub. 2008. - 288 p.

13. Isaacs R., Differential Games, J. Wiley, New York-London-Sydney, 1965, 384 p.

14. Ibragimov G.I. Collective pursuit with integral constrainson the controls of players, Siberian Advances inMathematics, 2004, v.14,No.2,p.13-26.

15. Ibragimov G.I. AbdRasid N., Kuchkarov A., Fudziah Ismail. Multi Pursuer Differential Game of Optimal Approach with Integral Constraints, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, 2015. - Vol. 19. - No 3. - P. 963-976.

16. Ibragimov G.I., Azamov A. A., Khakestari M. Solution of a linear pursuit-evasion game with integral constraints, ANZIAM Journal.Electronic Supplement.- 2010. - Vol.52. - P. E 59-E 75.

17. Imado F. Some practical approaches to pursuit-evasion dynamic games, CSA. - Elsevier, 2002. - Vol.38(2). - N 3. - P. 26-37.

18. Krasovskiy. A. N., Choi Y. S. Stochastic Control with the Leaders-Stabilizers. - Ekaterinburg : IMM Ural Branch of RAS,2001. - 51 p.

19. Krasovskii A. N., Krasovskii N. N. Control lunder Lack of Information. - Berlin etc. :Birkhauser, 1995. - 322, p.

20. Kuchkarov A.Sh. Solution of Simple Pursuit-Evasion Problem When Evader Moves on a Given Curve, International Game Theory Review. - World Scientific Publishing Company, 2010. - Vol.12. - N 3, p. 223-238.

21. Miller B., Rubinovich E.Y. Impulsive Control inContinuous and Discrete-Continuous Systems. - N.Y. : KluwerAcademic/Plenum Publishers, 2003. - 447 p.

22. Nahin P.J. Chases and Escapes: The Mathematics of Pursuit and Evasion. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2012, - 260.

23. Petrosyan L. A. About some of the family differential gamesat a survival in the space \bm{R}^n (in Russian). Dokl.Akad.Nauk SSSR, 1965, 161, No1, p.52-54.

24. Petrosyan L.A. The Differential Games of pursuit (in Russian), Leningrad, LSU, 1977, 224 p.

25. Petrosyan L.A., Rikhsiev B.B. Pursuit on the plane (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1991, 96 p.

26. Petrosyan L.A., Mazalov V.V. Game Theory and Applications I, II, New York: Nova Sci. Publ., 1996, 211 p., 219 p.

27. Petrosyan L.A. Pursuit Games with "a Survival Zone" (in Russian), Vestnic Leningard State Univ., 1967, No.13, p.76-85.

28. Petrosyan L.A., Dutkevich V.G. Games with "a Survival Zone", Occation L-catch (in Russian), Vestnic Leningrad State Univ.,1969, No.13, v.3, p.31-38.

29. Pontryagin L.S. "Linear Differential Pursuit Games" (in Russian), Math. Sb. [Math.USSR-Sb], 112, No.3, p.307-330.

30. Pshenichnyi B.N. The simple pursuit with some objects (in Russian), Cybernetics, 1976, No.3, pp.145-146.

31. Rikhsiev B.B. The differential games with simple motions (in Russian), Tashkent: Fan, 1989, 232 p.

32. Satimov N.Yu. Methods of solving of pursuit problem in differential games (in Russian), Tashkent: NUUz, 2003, 245 p.

33. Samatov B.T. The construction of the Π -strategy for thegame on simple pursuit with integral constraints (in Russian). The boudary value problems for non-classical mathematical-physical equations. Tashkent: Fan, 1986, p. 402- 412.

34. Samatov B.T. The Differential Game with "A Survival Zone" with Different Classes of Admissiable Control Functions. Game Theory and Applications. Nova Science Publ. 2008.V.13.P.143-150.

35. Samatov B.T. The Game with "A Survival Zone" in the caseintegral-geometric constraints on the controls of the Pursuer, Uzb.Math.jornal - Tashkent, 2012. - No 7. - p. 64-72.

36. Samatov B.T. On a Pursuit-Evasion Problem under a Linear Change of the Pursuer Resource, Siberian Advances in Mathematics. Allerton Press, Inc. Springer. - New York, 2013. - Vol. 23. - No 4. - P.294-302.

37. Samatov B.T. The Pursuit-Evasion Problem under Integral-Geometric constraints on Pursuer controls, Automation and Remote Control. - Pleiades Publishing, Ltd. - New York, 2013. -Vol. 74. - No 7. - P. 1072-1081.

38. Samatov B.T. The Resolving Functions Method for the Pursuit Problem with Integral Constraints on Controls, Journal of Automation and Information Sciences. - Begell House, Inc. (USA). 2013. - Vol. 45, No 8. - P.41-58.

39. Samatov B.T. The Π -strategy in a differential game with linear control constraints, J. Appl. Maths and Mechs. - Elsevier. - Netherlands,2014. - Vol. 78. - No 3. - P. 258-263.

40. Samatov B.T. Problems of group pursuit with integral constraints on controls of the player. I, Cybernetics and Systems Analysis. Springer International Publishing AG. - Switzerland, 2013. - Vol.49. - No5. - P.756-767.

41. Samatov B.T. Problems of group pursuit withintegral constraints on controls of the player. II, Cybernetics andSystems Analysis. Springer International Publishing AG -Switzerland, 2013. - Vol. 49. - No 6. - P.907-924.

(Тақризчи: А.Ўринов – физика-математика фанлари доктори, профессор).